United States District Court

for the

District of South Carolina

United States of America)		
v.)	Case No.	6:21cr58
William Robert Norwood III)		
Defendant			

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
☐ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\Box (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
☐(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving ris to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a			
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the			
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant			
committed one or more of the following offenses: (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21			
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);			
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;			
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years			
or more is prescribed;			
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of			
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or			
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,			
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),			
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.			
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above			
2 of continuous regarding repairment of the first and the continuous account to the continuous regarding r			
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is			
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)			
OR			
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the			
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.			
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,			
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:			
4			
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure			
the safety of any other person and the community.			
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure			
the defendant's appearance as required.			
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:			
Maight of avidance against the defendant is strong			
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted 			
Prior criminal history Prior criminal history			
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision			
☐ History of violence or use of weapons			
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse			
☐ Lack of stable employment			
☐ Lack of stable residence			
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties			

6:21-cr-00058-JDA Date Filed 03/02/21 Entry Number 15 Page 3 of 3

AO 4/2 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
Lack of significant community or fam	nily ties to this district

☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States

☐ Lack of legal status in the United States

☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration

Prior failure to appear in court as ordered

☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement

☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents

☐ Background information unknown or unverified

Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The government has presented evidence that the defendant admitted entering the US Capitol on January 6, 2021 as part of an unauthorized mob while Congress was in session. Video and photographs were obtained that appear to show that the defendant was moving about freely within the Capitol, including in a hallway near the office of the Speaker of the House. After returning to South Carolina, the defendant was contacted by the FBI and asked to voluntarily come in for an interview. At the interview, the defendant stated that he had been pushed into the Capitol by the crowd, and that he immediately tried to find an exit to leave. He further stated that upon leaving the building, someone placed a US Capitol Police tactical vest on him, and that he found a police helmet. He told interviewing agents that he abandoned the vest and helmet in a Virginia hotel room before returning to South Carolina. The defendant was not arrested and left the interview. Approximately three weeks later, the FBI received a tip that the defendant had lied duiring the FBI interview, and that he still had possession of the tactical vest and helmet. The FBI also recovered text messages from the defendant to his mother, father, and sister that he had entered the US Capitol, stating "it worked... I got away with things that others were shot or arrested for"; "The cop shot a female Trump supporter, then allowed 'ANTIFA Trump supporters' to assault him. I was one of them. I was there. I took his shit"; "I fought 4 cops, they did nothing. When I put my red hat on, they pepper balled me"; "I got a nice helmet and body armor off a cop for God's sake and I disarmed him"; "The one cop who deserved it, got it"; and "The cops who acted shitty got exactly what they deserved ... The ones who were cool, got help". Agents conducted a search of the defendant's camper and located a tactical vest and helmet that appear to be the property of the US Capitol Police. At the detention hearing, the defendant took the stand and testified that his texts were only meant to provoke his sister, who does not share his political ideology. The undersigned finds that detention is justified due to: (1) the defendant's testimony regarding his presence and activities in the Capitol is not credible; (2) the defendant was untruthful to the FBI; and (3) his texts describing his involvement.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Washal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

McDonald

United States Magistrate Judge

Date:

03/02/2021